

Iman Osman, Eleyon Nartey, Yusuf Ibrahim, Dougal Hargreaves, Kimberley Foley*, Helen Skirrow*

Imperial College London

Background		Methods	
Human Papillomavirus (HPV) is the most common Sexually Transmitted Infection (STI) and leading cause of cervical cancer in young women. Uptake in England remains below the national 90% NHS target with inequalities by gender, ethnicity and region, with lowest uptake in London.¹		Adolescents aged 16-18 years living in London took part in focus groups. They were recruited via convenience sampling through a local school and youth centre. Focus groups using a semi-structured topic guide explored gender perspectives, social and cultural influences, perceptions of the HPV vaccine, and experiences of HPV vaccination.	
Objective: To explore differences in HPV vaccine perceptions between adolescent boys and girls living in London and identify barriers and facilitators associated with HPV vaccine uptake.		Analysis was using inductive and deductive thematic analysis informed by the COM-B (Capability, Opportunity, Motivation-Behaviour) framework². Each transcript was coded by two members of the research team to develop a shared codebook.	
Results			
Four focus groups involving 21 adolescents (9 Male and 12 Female) were conducted; two girls-only (to support thematic saturation), one boys-only and one mixed-gender. Overall, 12 participants identified as Black, four were Asian and five were from other backgrounds. Three participants were vaccinated against HPV; 12 participants were unvaccinated & six participants HPV vaccination status was unknown as they either did not know themselves or did not disclose.			
CAPABILITY Knowledge and Skills ↓		OPPORTUNITY Access, Convenience, Availability of information and Cultural Norms ↓	
Boys and girls both lack education and awareness around HPV vaccine. All groups stressed that education for both themselves and their parents would increase confidence regarding vaccination. → "This is my first time hearing about it." → "I don't know what HPV is." All groups reported struggling with evaluating credibility for online information sources. → "[Artificial Intelligence] has [made it] a lot harder to tell what's real from what's not."		All groups reported family as one of the most influential factors shaping vaccination attitudes. This related to themes of STI-related stigma, gender norms and cultural beliefs affecting uptake. → "Everything you know comes from your family and your parents and your culture." Vaccine mistrust and needle-phobia were key barriers across genders. → "I just hate needles." → "I don't know if that [vaccine] risk is worth it." Peers increasingly shape health attitudes, particularly for boys. Social belonging was a key influence in this area. → "'I'm more likely to listen to my friends than my parents."	
Trust in healthcare professionals increased vaccine confidence and participants in all groups frequently stated that evidence and transparency were essential for decision-making. → "I'd want transparency on side effects." For boys, peer influence linked with masculinity, were key barriers. However, 'protecting women' improved vaccine acceptance. → "Why are you getting the vaccine for? You're not strong enough, you're just feminine." → "If it's to benefit women and society as a whole, I'm there for it." Girls perceived themselves as having increased responsibility regarding HPV transmission or prevention. → "Why should the burden to protect themselves from HPV only be placed on women?"			
Conclusion			
Gender-specific social influences, societal expectations, unequal exposure to health information, and knowledge deficits shape vaccine perceptions in distinct ways for boys and girls. There were also many overlapping barriers for all groups, which included a lack of education, issues with accessibility and misinformation online. Educational interventions tailored by gender could address these factors and support increasing HPV vaccine uptake.			
Strengths and Limitations		References	
This study's strengths include a high proportion of ethnic minority unvaccinated adolescent participants. A key limitation is that findings may not be generalisable beyond London due to demographics.		1. NHS England. (2025, 03, 28). 'Cervical cancer elimination by 2040 – plan for England'. 2. Michie S, Et Al. (2011, 04, 23). 'The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions.'	
		*Kimberley Foley and Helen Skirrow contributed equally to this research	